

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	Andrew John Cardno	Examiner:	NUNEZ, Jordany
Application No.:	10/728,857	Group Art Unit:	2175
Filing Date:	December 8, 2003	Confirmation No.	1227
Office Action Date:	December 28, 2009	Docket No.	83336.1710
Title:	DATA ANALYSIS SYSTEM AND METHOD	Customer No.	66880

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

This amendment is filed in response to the final Office action mailed December 28, 2009, and is timely filed.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-5 and 8-12 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Hughes et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,920,216) ("Hughes") in view of Wong (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,275) ("Wong").

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended in this paper. No claims have been canceled. No new claims have been added. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims, and contend that the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the cited references.